DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 048 370 TM 000 462

AUTHOR
FITLE
INSTITUTION
PUR DATE

Fetersen, Dwain F.; Anderson, Douglas M. Closing the Communications Gap with Item Sampling.

Mankato State Coll., Minn.

E Feb 71

NOTE 14p.: Faper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York,

New York, February 1971

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

EDRS Price MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

Attitude Tests, *College Environment, College

Students, Pata Collection, *Factor Analysis, Factor Structure, *Item Sampling, Measurement Techniques,

*Research Methodology, *Student Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS

*Campus Environment Scale

ABSTRACT

The equivalency of item sampling and student sampling of attitudes of college students was investigated. A factor analysis of student-sampled data collected during Spring 1968, was compared with a factor analysis of item-sampled data collected each quarter from Fall 1968, through Winter 1970, at Mankato State College, using the Campus Environment Study (CES) developed by the Central States Colleges and Universities Cooperative Research Program. In an earlier study item sampling was demonstrated to be equivalent to student campling in terms of correlations of item means and standard deviations. It was also found that standard deviations of item-sampled responses were systematically smaller than for student sampling. When the results of that earlier study and the present one were compared, factor structures of student attitudes were found to be similar if not equivalent. Factors from item sampling had several common items on similar factors gained from student sampling. Item-sampled factors contained many items from the same a priori environments of the original item pool. The congruence of the items in the item-sampled factors was judged to be high, with some notable exceptions which could be attributed to the less than perfect intercorrelation matrix. An example of a typical item sample, consisting of six questions taken from the full 150-item CES is attached. (Author/TA)



Closing the Communications Gap With Item Sampling

. DWAIN F. PETERSEN Mankato State College

DOUGLAS H. ANDERSON University of Minnesota U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION WELLFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSONIOR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IP. POINTS OF VIEW OR DEPHONS OFFICE OF POINT OR SERVING PRINCIPS OF POINT OR POINT O

INTRODUCTION

Serious questions have been raised about the strength and flexibility of our educational system. John Gardner has spoken of unloving critics, uncritical levers and leving critics. Too many opportunities have been provided the unloving critics and uncritical levers within and uithout our institutions of higher education. Fou, if any, provisions have been made for the development of leving critics. Yet, if our institutions are to retain or gain strength, they must have the flexibility to respond to responsible input from the majority of patrons. Historically when students were allowed to engage in criticism, a sample of students was asked a population of questions. After receiving poor returns with limited opportunity for collecting responses regularly over a period of years, it has been decided there must be a better way.

Item sampling involves randomly selecting items rather than individuals and then administraing the item samples to a population instead of with a sample of individuals. sampling has the potentiality of providing a voice for the silent majority. Lord (1355, 1960, 1962) laid the groundwork and demonstrated the practicability of item sampling for achi exement testing applications. Lord (1962), Plumlee (1964), and Cook & Stufflebeam (1967) extended research on item sampling by using various sixe iten samples and emerines samples. This study, as well as an empirical comparison of the validity of item and examinee sampline (Owens & Stufflebeam, 1967), indicated that a large number of examinees taking only a few items each provided a better estimate of the population betw then a small number of enemineer aremoring many items each. Sax & Cromack (1966) and Fronch & Greer (1964) have addressed

000 462

EDC 48370

the context question and demonstrated that if the time limit is generous and the sample is short, context or the order of the items fades as a determinant of responses to the items. As Lord suggested in 1962, item sampling is being used to trade items for people in a wide variety of situations. It is being used in National Assessment (Merwin & Womer, 1969), achievement monitoring (Allen & Mackin, 1970) and in the U.S. census.

It is the purpose of this paper to investigate the equivalency of item sampling and student sampling of the structure of attitudes of college students. If we are to provide opportunities for the masses to respond to the concerns of higher education, we must provide a simple straight forward means of evaluating attitudes of our patrons. At a general session of the 1970 A.E.R.A. convention on problems and developments in research design and methodology in studying the college student, Howard Becker pointed out that the day of getting returns on 150-item inventories from college students is past. Alexander W. Astin suggested that C. Robert Pace and others were so concorned with reliability in the development of college environment scales when they utilized the agree/disagree response pattern (Pace & Stern, 1958) that they did not allow for measurement of change. It is unlikely that, in a short period of time, a student's response will shift abruptly from agree to disagree, but very possible that he might move from strongly agree to agree or uncertain on a five point scale.

To achieve this purpose illustrative data from a "Campus Environment Study" will be presented. Factors from a factor analysis of item samples will be analyzed from the standpoint of the following questions: (1) Are the factors from items sampled data similar to factors of student sampled data?

(2) Are the item-sampled factors representative of items in a priori environments? (3) Are the items in the item-sampled factors congruent?

METHOD

Items from the Campus Environment Study (CES)* were item sampled and administered to students at pre-registration each quarter starting in Fall, 1968. Each item-sample contained six statements which were randomly selected from 150 items. During the academic year 1968-69 a stratified random sample was drawn, one item from each of six a priori college environments: Academic, Physical, Cultural, Communications, Community

*The writers wish to express appreciation to Dr. Herb Silvey of Central States College and University Cooperative Research Program for permission to utilize the pool of items from the Campus Environment Study.



Relationships and Moral-Ethical environments. During Fall, 1969, and Winter, 1970, the six items were drawn completely at random from the pool of items. (See Appendix A) At Mankato State College there were 5,958 item samples collected during Fall, 1968; 5,421 during Winter, 1969; 4,174 during Spring, 1969; 7,454 during Fall, 1969; and 7,690 during Winter, 1970 for a total of 25,276 representing over a 93 percenc return from those pre-registering. A missing data correlation matrix program was developed by the co-author at the University of Minnesota. This "psuedo" matrix was then applied to a principal factor analysis and the factors rotated to meaningful structure with a varimax rotation program. Due to the "psuedo" matrix, a .40 rather than a .35 factor loading was used as a The factors thus obtained were compared with cutoff point. factors similarly obtained from a student sampled factor analysis based on data collected on all 150 items from 79% students at Mankato State College during Spring, 1968. (Petersen, 1969) The factors were also compared with the a priori scales of the CES and the congruence of items on each factor was interpreted.

RESULTS

Item sampling was demonstrated to be equivalent to student sampling in terms of correlations of item means and standard deviations in a previous study. (Petersen, 1969) It was also found that standard deviations of item-sampled responses were systematically smaller than for student sampling.

In the present study the "psuedo" correlation matrix, which was a result of intercorrelations of 25,276 six item samples, produced some factor loadings greater than unity. However, a previous factor analysis based on 15,144 item samples produced some factor loadings as great as 1.38, 1.17, 1.34, 1.25, 1.29 and 1.18. The high factor loadings in the present study such as 1.03, 1.08, 1.07, 1.10, 1.04, 1.07 and 1.18 are more tolerable but indicate a need for still more data. If the data collected in 1968-69 had not been based on stratified random sampling it is likely that fewer factor loadings would have exceeded unity.

In the tables which follow, the factors will be presented and the three questions relating to the purpose of the paper addressed for each factor. On the right of each table the factor number derived from the student sample factor analysis is listed. While this does not allow for a direct comparison of factor structures derived from student sampling and item sampling, it is all that can be accomplished at this time. The factors from the student sampled data are number I-X and the factors from the present study are number XI-XXI.

Factor XI in Table 1 is similar to Factors I and IV of the student sampled data. Factor I was a dimension involving warm



personal communications between and among students and faculty. Factor IV involved official, administrative type communication. The item sampled factor XI is a combination of the student sampled factors and four of the five items are from the a priori communications environment (items 76-100). The congruence of this factor is very high with all of the items dealing with concern and communication.

TABLE 1. Factor XI from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No. Item	Factor Loading	Student Sampling Factors
93	The administration attempts to keep stu dents informed on matters of policy.	- 1.03	ΙV
99	The administration informs faculty and students promptly of policy changes.	. 80	īA
94	There is a friendly relationship betwee faculty and students.	n .72	I
79	Generally, students feel quite conforta in approaching instructors regarding a problem.	ble .47	Ï
107	The faculty on this campus is considera and concerned with student problems.	ie .43	3:

Factor XII is not similar to any of the student sampled factors except that it is made up of items from three different factors. Two of the three items are from the communications environment of the a priori scale and are congruent. All three items do not appear to have congruence with one another unless drinking habits can be related to communication.

TABLE 2. Factor XII from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Pall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No. Item	Factor Loading	Student Sampling Factors
83.	The administration and teaching faculty appear to cooperate well.	1.08	IV
127	Excessive drinking by students does not create a real problem on this campus.	.61	11
76	It is easy for students to communicate with the administration.	.44	1



Factor XIII has one item in common with factor VIII which was an organizational communications factor. The other two items are from the <u>a priori</u> physical environment. Two of the three items are congruent but the third is not.

TABLE 3. Factor XIII from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No. Item	Factor Loading	Student Sampling Factors
34	The library is a good place to study.	1.07	
31	The campus has a very attractive appearance.	.71	
88	The student government is functioning satisfactorily.	. 43	VXIX

Factor XIV in Table 4 did not load on the student sampled factor analysis. All items are from the <u>a priori</u> academic environment. The items are exceptionally congruent, have high loadings and relate to good teaching.

TABLE 4. Factor XIV from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 ltems of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No. Item	Factor Loading
21	Good teaching is a characteristic of most instructors at this institution.	3 3. 0
18	Most instructors recognize a superior student and are willing to take extra time to challeng him.	.66 e
19	There is a good balance between idealism and other points of view in the classroom.	.65
4	Stimulating classroom discussions are frequent	64

Factor XV in Table 5 did not load on the student sampled factor analyses. All items are from the a priori scademic environment. The items are congruent and indicate that this may be a scholarship dimension of the academic environment.



TABLE 5. Factor XV from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment: Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No. Item	Factor Loading
6	High scholarship is a common goal of most students.	1.04
11	Examinations satisfactorily measure course assignments and presentations.	.56
8	Many students on this campus are striving fo high grades.	r .55
13	The academic atmosphere on this campus encoustudents to go on to graduate work.	rages .52

Factor XVI is yet another academic factor which did not load on the student sample analysis. All five items are from the a priori academic environment. Most items are congruent and relate to dedicated teaching.

FABLE 6. Factor XVI from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 197

Item		actor oading
12	Most instructors here are dedicated teachers.	1.07
13	The academic atmosphere on this campus encourages students to go on to graduate work.	.56
2	Most instructors are very thorough in the teaching of their subject matter.	.50
19	There is a good balance between idealism and other points of view in the classroom.	. 43
24	The institution provides a great many academic resources for student use.	.42

Factor XVII in Table 7 seems to be a community related physical environment dimension. The four items which loaded on the student sampled factor analysis each came from a different factor. Three items come from the a priori community relations environment (items 101-125), two from the physical environment, one each from the a priori cultural and communications environment. Despite the diversity, the items seem congruent in the community related physical area.



TABLE 7. Factor XVII from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No. Item	Factor Loading	Student Sampling Factors
36	Off-campus housing facilities are satisfactory.	.92	
95	Rumors are quickly disspelled on this campus by ready access to facts.	.66	IV
109	The merchants in this community treat students like first class citizens.	.62	
54	Classical music is popular with the majority of students.	y .54	VII
47	Housing costs are reasonable for the facilities and services provided.	.51	A .
117	Upper classmen provide helpful leadership to new students.	.42	·
16,5	The general atmosphere on examples is friendly	y67	

Factor XVIII relates favorably with factor II of the student sampled analysis. That factor was a large moral-ethical factor. The items are very representative of the a priori moral-ethical environment (items 126-150). The items on this factor are particularly congruent in the moral ethical dimension.

TABLE 8. Factor XVIII from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No. Item		Factor Loading	Student Sampling Factors
1.38	Uncontrolled student behave characteristic of this ins		.98	
126	Students respect instituti regulations.	onal rules and	.74	II
111	There are ample opportunity people through social functorganizations.		.61	
136	Institutional regulations undue restraints on social		.40	v
74	In general the speech and reflect refinement and goo		s .10	ΥI
127	Excessive drinking by stud a real problem on this can		.40	II



Factor XIX in table 9 does not relate to the student sampled analysis, although one item appeared on each of factors I and X. It does not relate well to any of the a priori environments except perhaps the moral-ethical domain. The congruence of the items relates to freedom of expression and openness for the most part but items on the use of drugs, library assistance and preparation for classes contradicts this interpretation.

TABLE 9. Factor XIX from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968 through Winter, 1970

Item		Factor Loading	Student Sampling Factors
7	Open mindedness and objectivity are characteristic of most classes.	1.00	
77	The expression of student opinions is encouraged.	. 49	I .
147	The use of hallucinatory drugs by students has not become widespread on this campus.	.49	
42	The library staff provides sufficient personal assistance in locating materials in the library.	.45	
130	Freedom of speech is an accepted practice on this campus.	.40	
9	Considerable out-of-class preparation by students is necessary for most courses.	.40	Х

Factor XX related well with factors I and III of the student sampled analysis. Factor I was the warm personal communications factor and III a friendliness dimension. Three of the four items are from the community relationship environment of the a priori scale (items 101-125). The congruence of this factor relates to mutual respect and concern between and among students and faculty.



TABLE 10. Factor XX from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No.	Item	•	Factor Loading	Student Sampling Factors
122		as a general r l for advice a		1.18	I
110		eling of mutua ents and facult		.54	I
112	Students show at this insti	a concern for tution.	each other	• 50	III
78		re easy to app cerning classw		.49	I

Factor XXI does not relate to any of the student sampled factors except factor VII which while they have only one item in common seem both to be measuring student cultural opportunities. Seven of the eight items are from the a priori cultural environment (items 51-75). The congruence of this factor is exceptionally high and all of the items are related to cultural opportunities including recreation.

TABLE 11. Factor XXI from Varimax Rotation Loadings of Principal Factor Analysis of the 150 Items of the Campus Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968, through Winter, 1970

Item	No. Item	Factor Loading	Student Sompling Factors
59	Dramatic presentations are given freque on campus.	ently .89	
2 9	Recreational facilities are adequate to the needs of most students.	meet .49	
75	Artists and performing groups appear frequently on campus.	.45	
70	The library of tapes and records, i.e., poetry, etc., is used extensively by st		
64	There is opportunity to study cultures than our own.	other .42	
- 51	Opportunities are provided for students evaluate works of art.	s to .40	
65	There are a variety of performing music groups on this campus.	cal57	
56	hive performances of symphonics, ballet operas are well petronized by the stude		Vii

All six of the <u>a priori</u> environments were represented in both factor analytical studies. As can be seen in Table 12, there were two more academically oriented factors among the student sampled factors. The academic factors were all small being comprised of five or fewer items. The communications environment split four ways in the student sampled analysis with a student newspaper and organizational communications failing to appear on the item sampled analysis. The other difference in number of factors was in the community relationships, environment where two factors were identified with relatively few items which although in a majority on the factors, were from this environment.

TABLE 12. Number of Factors Gained in A Priori Environments from Varimax Rotations of Principal Factor Analyses of a Student Sample of Spring, 1968 and Item Samples of the 150 Items of the Camous Environment Study at Mankato State College, Fall, 1968 through Winter, 1970

3 1 1 . 1	
1 .1	
2	
2	
2 4	
2 1	
1	
11 10	
	2 4 2 1 1

DISCUSSION

The present results indicate that the structure of student attitudes are similar if not equivalent when measured with item sampling and student sampling. The student sample factor analysis was completed using Spring, 1968 data and the item sample analysis utilized data collected each quarter from Fall, 1968 through Winter, 1970. It is impossible to collect sufficient item sampled data on one medium sized campus during one quarter unless the population of items is reduced or the item sample size is increased.

Even with these limitations in the present study, several items load or similar factors. The item sampled factors load more consistently on items from the same a price; environments despite the fact that the contiguity or order of items is at random. The congruence of items loading on the same item sampled



10

factor is similar to student sampled factors with some notable exceptions. The exceptions may be related to the "psuedo" intercorrelation matrix but then the similarity also may be associated with the less than perfect matrix. By utilizing a .40 factor loading cutoff for inclusion in a factor this limitation was compensated for in part.

All of the a priori environments were represented in both factor analytical studies. There were two more academically oriented and two fewer communications oriented factors in the item sampled analysis than in the student sampled analysis. This difference may be accounted for by the temporal difference in when the data was collected. It may also be attributed to the factor analytical process itself.

The present study should be considered a pilot study or at most a developmental attempt to equate student sampling with item sampling. More research is needed. A proposed next step in the development of item sampling may also prove to be more conclusive. That would be to administer one complete 150 item inventory to a student sample for every 25 six item samples of the pool of items at the same time on several college campuses or at one large university. This would be superior to decreasing the population of items because one assumption of item sampling is that the items must represent all items which could be asked. It would also be superior to increasing the item sample size because six items is most optimum for fitting on one page and producing a very high rate of return.

In summary, factor structures of student attitudes were found to be similar if not equivalent. Factors from item sampling had several common items on similar factors gained from student sampling. Item sampled factors contained many items from the same a priori environments of the original item pool. The congruence of the items in the item sampled factors was judged to be high with some notable exceptions.



REFERENCES

- ALLEN, D. U. & R. A. MACKIN, Toward a redefinition of teacher education, Educational Technology, 1970, 10, 65-70.
- COOK, D. L. & D. L. STUFFLEBEAM, Estimating test norms from variable size item and examinee samples. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1967, 27, 601-610.
- FRENCH, J. L. & D. GREER, Effect of test-item arrangement on physiological and psychological behavior in primary-school children. Journal of Educational Measurement, 1964, 1, 151-153.
- LORD, F. M. Sampling fluctuations resulting from the sampling of test items. Psychometrika, 1955, 20, 1-22.
- LORD, F. M. Use of true-score theory to predict moments of univariate and bivariate observed-score distributions. Psychometrika, 1960, 25, 325-342.
- LORD, F. M. Estimating norms by item sampling. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1962, 22, 259-267.
- LOLD, F. N. & M. R. NOVICK, Statistical theories of mental test scores. Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- MERWIN, J. C. & F. B. WOMER, Evaluation in assessing the progress of education to provide bases of public understanding and public policy. Educational evaluation:

 new roles, new means. Chicago: National Society for the Study of Education Part II, University of Chicago Press, 1969.
- OWENS, T. R. & D. L. STUFFLEBEAM, An experimental comparison of item sampling and examinee sampling for estimating test norms. Columbus, Chio: Evaluation Center, Ohio State University, August 1, 1967.
- PACE, C. R. & G. G. STERN, An approach to the measurement of psychological characteristics of college environments, Journal of Educational Psychology, 1958, 5, 269-277.
- PETERSEN, D. F. Item sampling of institutional environments.

 The challenge and response of institutional research.

 Athens, Georgia: The Association for Institutional Research, 1969.
- PLUMLEE, LYNNETTE B. Estimating means and standard deviations from partial data--empirical check on Lord's item sampling technique. Fducational and Psychological Measurement, 1964, 24, 623-630.
- CAM, G. & T. R. CROMACK, The effects of various forms of item arrangements on test performance. <u>Journal of Educational</u> Measurement, 1966, 3, 309-311.



AUTHORS

PETERSEN, DWAIN FRANKLIN Address: Office of Institutional Research P.O. Box 75 Mankato State College, Mankato, Minnesota 56001 Title: Director of Institutional Research, Professor of Educational Psychology Age: 36 Degrees: B.M.E., Wayne State College; M.S.E., Droke University; Ed. D., University of Nebraska Specialization: Educational Psychology; institutional research, evaluation

ANDERSON, DOUGLAS HARRY Address: 205 Experimental Engineering University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55400

Title: Associate Professor of Educational Psychology
Age: 47 Degrees: B.E.E., B.S., M.S., Ph.D. University of Minnesota Specialization: Educational Psychology; measurement, computer applications



Appendix A

DO YOU HAVE FOUR MINUTES TO BELL US ETDOS THE COMMON DOADNON OF THE LOWER AND STATE COLLEGE?

Here is your opportunity to respond to six atoms of violations, as a control of the 100 such questions will be a swined by your follows students utilizing this new item Sampling Indiagnes. This transport of the four responds on the computer protein thems will be sorted by the characteristics bette blow that and such as a cold by your follows that characteristics bette blow that and such as a cold by your follows and characteristics bette blow that and such as a cold by your follows the characteristics bette blow that and such as a cold by your follows the characteristics and because the solid by your follows the characteristics and blow that a cold blow the control of the computer protein them your follows the characteristics and blow the computer protein them. Be supported by the characteristics and blow the computer protein them. The cold blow the computer protein them your follows the computer protein thems will be sold by the characteristics better the computer protein thems will be sold by the characteristics better the computer protein thems will be sold by the characteristics better the computer protein thems will be sold by the characteristics better the computer protein thems will be sold by the characteristics better the computer protein the computer protein thems.

STUDERTS CHOW A CONCURN FOR EACH OTHER AT THIS INSTITUTION.

715: 3 7 m NO

THE INSTITUTION HAS EXTENSIVE MUSEUM COLLECTIONS.

THE REAL IS STRONG STUDENT LOYALTY TO THIS INSTITUTION.

STUDENTS ON THIS CAMPUS HAVE AN EXCELLENT OPPORTUNITY TO GAIN AN APPRECIATION IN THE FINE ARTS.

CAMPUS FOOD SERVICES ARE SATISFACTORY.

MEMBERS OF ALL RACES PARTICIPATE IN ALL CAMPUS ACTIVITIES ON AN EQUAL MASIS.

SEX 1 → MALE 2 FEMALE	-	Ž.		
CLASSPICATION 1 PRESPINAN 2 SOTHOWORK TO COURSE IN TRACE - A PROMITE	1	1	3	1
MAJOR PROGRAM I TEACHER EDUCATION 2 MONDIACHER EDITATION STREETE NOTATION	1	-	3	
CONECE INVING SHUADON CHECK Chay ONE 1 CONFECE RESIDENCE HERE 2 CONFIDER 3 FAMILIANTY SOCIETY 1 FIX REPORT THE 5 KANAKATO FURLISH.	:	2	3 •	- 5
PERMANENT EL DENCE 1 N. MONN 2 LE MANA 1 SWILLIAM COLL CASTATE 6 NO LIGHT 5 LAIN CHIES AREA BATHA COLL CASTATE	†	2	3	4
COMPLATIVE GRADE TOINT A VERTICE 1 FELOW C 2 C TO C + 3 F 4 B 5 B - AND A C + E	;	2	3 •	- 5
PRIMARY SOURCE CREDUCATION AT EXPRESS 1 FAMILY 2 CANDIAGS COMMON ALL S 1 CONCERNO AND WORLD STORM OF COMMON AND A SOCIETY OF CAME OF THE A LIGHTLY	1	2	3	- \$
MATOR APEA 1 AFTS & SOURCES 2 JOURNAYOUT 1 APEA POLICE 2 APPLIED AFTER APPLICATION 5 MATERIALS OF APPLICATION O	1	2	-	A 5
GROUP (OPTIONAL) 1 AFEN ENTRICAN 2 EMERICANI INTERNAL 1 CAUCASTAN AMERICANI 4 ORIENTZALAMEN INTERNAL 5 CRAMES EMERICANI	1	2 (-	4

MAKE NO MARKS BELIEV THIS LINE

THESE ERSTAINTED MAKES ICENTIFY THE LIGHAN AT OAK WHICH WERE RANDOMIN

SELECTED BY COMPUTER TO POPER SENT ARE TSO HEMS.



MANNATO STATE COLLEGE